

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Initially, the Applicant notes with appreciation that the Examiner has withdrawn the previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103. Additionally, Applicant is appreciative of the Examiner's indication of allowability of claims 7-9 and that claims 11-18 and 20 have been allowed.

Claims 1-4 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Hu et al. Additionally, claims 5, 6 and 10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu et al.

Although the Applicant does not necessarily agree with the positions taken by the Examiner, by the present amendment, claim 1 has been amended to include all the limitations of claims 5 and 7. Given that the Examiner has indicated that the subject matter of claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and all of the remaining claims have either been allowed or should be considered allowable by virtue of their dependency on allowable claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims should now be allowed and the application passed to issue. If the Examiner should have any additional questions or concerns regarding this matter, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned at the number provided below in order to further prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

Nicholas Whitelaw

Nicholas S. Whitelaw
Attorney for Application
Reg. No. 36,418

Date: February 14, 2008

DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC
12471 Dillingham Square, #301
Woodbridge, VA 22192
Tel: (703) 583-8300 Fax: (703) 583-8301